

Some cryptanalytic results on Stream ciphers with short internal states

Subhadeep Banik

EPF, Lausanne Invited Talk to ASK 2019 14th December 2019

 $f(x+\Delta x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\Delta x)^{i}}{i!} f^{(0)}(x) = a^{i\pi} = -1$ $\{2.7182818284\}^{2} \partial q \partial q \partial q \partial q$



Outline



- Introduction
- Sprout (FSE15)
- Previous Work
- Attack by Esgin/Kara (SAC 2015)
- Distinguishing Attack
- State Recovery Attack
- After Sprout
- Attack on Plantlet

The Stream Cipher Sprout



Sprout

- Biryukov, Shamir [Asiacrypt 2001] : State size must be 1.5 to 2 times size of Secret Key.
- Radical Departure: Sprout by Armknecht and Mikhalev in FSE 2015.
 - \rightarrow State Size equal to size of Secret Key.
 - \rightarrow Avoids Generic TMD Tradeoff Attacks due to Key mixing in state update.
- Grain like structure: LFSR and NFSR of size 40 bits each.
- Much smaller in area than any known stream cipher.

State twice the size of Secret Key

- \bullet Let N denote the size of the set of internal states.
- $\bullet~f$ denotes the function mapping state to keystream.









- \bullet Randomly choose m initial states and form a function chain.
- f is the function that maps state to keystream segment.





- Construct some tables to cover a fixed fraction of the state space.
- Online Stage: for every successive segment see if present in one of the tables.





• Total complexity T, memory M, data D, state space N, offline complexity P.

• Get the tradeoff curve $TM^2D^2 = N^2$, with the limitation that $T \ge D^2$.





- Typical point on curve is $T = N^{2/3}$, $M = N^{1/3}$, $D = N^{1/3}$, $P = N^{2/3}$.
- If N = K this is a valid attack. Rule of the thumb is $N = K^2$.



Structure











Description

- Uses an 80 bit Key and a 70 bit IV.
- \bullet Initialization: IV[0 to 39] \rightarrow NFSR, IV[40 to 69]||0x3fe \rightarrow LFSR
- Key-IV Mixing : Clock 320 cycles without producing Keystream.

 \rightarrow Xor z_t to update functions of NFSR, LFSR.

• Keystream: After 320 cycles, discontinue feedback and produce keystream bit

Algebraic Description

EPFL

Description

• Update of LFSR :

$$l_{t+40} = f(L_t) = l_t + l_{t+5} + l_{t+15} + l_{t+20} + l_{t+25} + l_{t+34}.$$

• Update of NFSR : $n_{t+40} = g(N_t) + c_t^4 + k_t^* + l_0^t$

 $\rightarrow c_t^4$ denotes the 4^{th} LSB of the modulo 80 up-counter.

 $\rightarrow k_t^*$ is the output of the Round Key function defined as:

$$k_t^* = \begin{cases} K_{t \mod 80}, & \text{if } t < 80, \\ K_{t \mod 80} \cdot (l_{t+4} + l_{t+21} + l_{t+37} + n_{t+9} + n_{t+20} + n_{t+29}), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

 \rightarrow The non-linear function g is given as:

$$g(N_t) = n_{t+0} + n_{t+13} + n_{t+19} + n_{t+35} + n_{t+39} + n_{t+2}n_{t+25} + n_{t+3}n_{t+5} + n_{t+7}n_{t+8} + n_{t+14}n_{t+21} + n_{t+16}n_{t+18} + n_{t+22}n_{t+24} + n_{t+26}n_{t+32} + n_{t+33}n_{t+36}n_{t+37}n_{t+38} + n_{t+10}n_{t+11}n_{t+12} + n_{t+27}n_{t+30}n_{t+31}.$$

Algebraic Description



Description

• Keystream bit is produced as

$$z_t = l_{t+30} + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{A}} n_{t+i} + h(N_t, L_t).$$

$$\rightarrow \mathcal{A} = \{1, 6, 15, 17, 23, 28, 34\}$$

 $\rightarrow h(N_t, L_t) = n_{t+4}l_{t+6} + l_{t+8}l_{t+10} + l_{t+32}l_{t+17} + l_{t+19}l_{t+23} + n_{t+4}l_{t+32}n_{t+38}.$



Known Attacks

- Related Key Distinguisher : Yonglin Hao [eprint 2015/231]
- Partial State Exposure : Maitra et al [eprint 2015/236]

 \rightarrow Guess 54 bits of the state.

- \rightarrow Remaining bits of state and Key found by solving keystream equations in SAT solver.
- Guess and Determine: Lallemand and Naya-Plasencia [CRYPTO 2015]

 \rightarrow Faster than Brute Force by 2^{10} , takes 2^{46} bits of memory.

Attack by Esgin/Kara (SAC 2015)



Offline



Offline Phase

• Note that the key mixing function is non linear.

$$k_t^* = K_{t \mod 80} \cdot (l_{t+4} + l_{t+21} + l_{t+37} + n_{t+9} + n_{t+20} + n_{t+29})$$

• Enumerate class of states for which

$$l_{t+4} + l_{t+21} + l_{t+37} + n_{t+9} + n_{t+20} + n_{t+29} = 0$$
 for $t = 0, 1, \dots, 39$

Online stage



Online stage

4

- For every keystream segment try to match in table.
 - 1 Does not exist in table
 - 2 Exists in table, but not produced by a weak state
 - 3 Exists in table, and produced by a weak state
- If match exists: from knowledge of keystream and state: find secret key.
- Use SAT method for this.
- The time complexity is practical 2^{33} encryptions

Sliding Key-IV pairs





Sliding Key-IV pairs



Idea

- 2^{80} possible choices of $S_0 \rightarrow$ for every K we have 2^{60} such IV pairs.
- Define a graph G = (V, E) such that



• So we have $|E| = 2^{60}$.

Distinguisher



Attack

- For any K get keystream from random IVs until we get one pair that slide.
- How many random trials necessary ?



• By Birthday rule $\binom{N}{2} \cdot 2^{60} = \binom{2^{70}}{2} \Rightarrow N \approx 2^{40}$ and 2^{48} bits memory.

Distinguisher



Attack

 \bullet In general for n bit LFSR and NFSR, Δ bit pad.

•
$$\binom{N}{2} * 2^{2n-2\Delta} = \binom{2^{2n-\Delta}}{2} \Rightarrow N \approx 2^n$$

#	n	N (Experimental)	N (Theoretical)
1	8	222.4	256
2	9	446.9	512
3	10	911.7	1024
4	11	1865.7	2048

Table: Experimental values of N for smaller versions of Sprout

Keystream with Period 80



Idea

- If LFSR = All zero vector after Key-IV mixing: it remains all zero forever.
- Key-IV pairs with period 80 Keystream.



• Because of pad, one in 2^{10} random trials will produce success.



Results

#			K			V
1	2819	5612	323c	2357	3518	2 fbfc75bfcb4396485
2	7047	18a0	f88a	aff7	7df5	1 4d57f42712b395015

Table: Key-IV pairs that produce keystream sequence with period 80. (Note that the first hex character in V encodes the first 2 IV bits, the remaining 17 hex characters encode bits 3 to 70)



Attack

- For any K, there exist around 2^{30} IVs that land LFSR to all zero after mixing.
- Algebraic Structure of the cipher is weakened:

$$\rightarrow n_{t+40} = g(N_t) + c_t^4 + k_t^*$$

$$\to k_t^* = K_{t \mod 80} \cdot (n_{t+9} + n_{t+20} + n_{t+29})$$

$$\rightarrow z_t = n_{t+1} + n_{t+6} + n_{t+15} + n_{t+17} + n_{t+23} + n_{t+28} + n_{t+34}.$$

• Efficient Guess and Determine possible.

Key Recovery



Attack

- Define $x_i = n_{i+1}$, for all $i \ge 0$.
- For z_0 to z_6 we have the following equations

$$z_0 = x_0 + x_5 + x_{14} + x_{16} + x_{22} + x_{27} + x_{33}$$

$$z_1 = x_1 + x_6 + x_{15} + x_{17} + x_{23} + x_{28} + x_{34}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$z_6 = x_6 + x_{11} + x_{20} + x_{22} + x_{28} + x_{33} + x_{39}$$

• Guess x_0 to x_{32} (2³³ guesses). x_{33} to x_{39} can be determined easily.

$$x_{i+33} = z_i + x_i + x_{i+5} + x_{i+14} + x_{i+16} + x_{i+22} + x_{i+27}$$

Key Recovery



Attack

1 Assign $K_i = \phi, \ \forall i \in [0, 79]$

2 For Each of the 2^{33} candidates do the following

 $\begin{array}{l} \rightarrow \text{Assign } i \leftarrow 0 \\ \rightarrow \text{Calculate } x_{i+40} = z_{i+7} + x_{i+7} + x_{i+12} + x_{i+21} + x_{i+23} + x_{i+24} + x_{i+31} \\ \rightarrow \text{Calculate } k_i^* = x_{i+40} + c_i^4 + g(N_{i+1}) \\ \rightarrow \text{Calculate } m_i = x_{i+8} + x_{i+19} + x_{i+28} \text{ (note } k_i^* = K_{i \mod 80} * m_i \text{)} \end{array}$

	No Deduction,	$\text{if } k_i^* = 0 \ \land \ m_i = 0,$
	Assign $K_{i \mod 80} = 0$,	$\text{if } k_i^* = 0 \land m_i = 1 \land K_{i \mod 80} = \phi,$
Novt Stop -	Contradiction,	if $k_i^* = 0 \land m_i = 1 \land K_{i \mod 80} = 1$,
Next Step = \langle	Assign $K_{i \mod 80} = 1$,	if $k_i^* = 1 \land m_i = 1 \land K_{i \mod 80} = \phi$,
	Contradiction,	if $k_i^* = 1 \land m_i = 1 \land K_{i \mod 80} = 0$,
	Contradiction,	if $k_i^*=1~\wedge~m_i=0$

 \rightarrow If Contradiction then Abort and try new guess, \rightarrow Else $i \leftarrow i + 1$ and continue from start.

Key Recovery



Complexity

- Abort in 1 out of 4 cases \leftarrow probability $\frac{1}{4}$ of 1st round abort.
- Abort after 2 rounds $\leftarrow (1 \frac{1}{4}) * \frac{1}{4}$.
- Abort after i rounds $\leftarrow \left(1 \frac{1}{4}\right)^{i-1} * \frac{1}{4}$.
- Average number of rounds before elimination:

$$\theta = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{i}{4} * \left(1 - \frac{1}{4}\right)^{i-1} = 4.$$

- Try 2^{40} IVs before we get a weak state, so total guesses $= 2^{40} \cdot 2^{33} \cdot 4 = 2^{75}$.
- Equivalent to $2^{66.7}$ encryptions and takes surprisingly little memory.



Changes

- Plantlet proposed in IACR TOSC 2017 by same authors as Sprout.
- Increase state size to 101 bits (40+61).

 \rightarrow Defeats guess and determine attacks

- Key mixing changed to linear i.e. $k_t^* = K[t \mod 80]$
- To counteract weak states which result from all zero LFSR:

 \rightarrow An interesting solution is provided: 61 bit LFSR used in 2 phases

- \rightarrow During Key-IV mixing only the first 60 bits are updated: 61st bit held at 1.
- \rightarrow Full 61 bits are updated only during keystream phase.
- \rightarrow LFSR never becomes all zero.

Structure







Changes

• LFSR update : During Key IV mixing

$$\begin{split} l_{60}^{t+1} &= 1 \\ l_{59}^{t+1} &= l_{54}^t + l_{43}^t + l_{34}^t + l_{20}^t + l_{14}^t + z^t \\ l_i^{t+1} &= l_{i+1}^t, \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq 58 \end{split}$$

• LFSR update : During keystream phase

$$l_{60}^{t+1} = l_{54}^t + l_{43}^t + l_{34}^t + l_{20}^t + l_{14}^t + z^t$$

$$l_i^{t+1} = l_{i+1}^t, \text{ for } 0 \le i \le 59$$

• Both LFSR functions have maximum period.



Changes

- This does not solve the problem of distinguing attacks using slid keystream
- The authors have admitted as much in the paper.
- But it is difficult to convert the distinguisher into a key recovery attack.
- Also only 2^{30} keystream bits are allowed per key-IV pair.



- $L_{t2} = M^{t2-t1} \cdot L_{t1} \Rightarrow L_{t2} \oplus L_{t1} = (I \oplus M^T) \cdot L_{t1}$
- System of linear equations, $(I \oplus M^T)$ is always invertible.

Plantlet: Observation 2



 $t1 \equiv t2 \equiv 0 \text{ mod } 80$



- This gives us an interesting filter.
- However the opposite direction is NOT TRUE.

Plantlet: Observation 3







- Helps reduce complexity more (we will see how).
- Also $z_{t1+46} + z_{t2+46} = n_{t1+50} \cdot l_{t1+78}$.





- The probability that for a single IV this happens is $\approx 2^{-55}$.
- Note that not more than 2^{30} keystream bits are allowed for one IV.





- The probability that for a single IV this happens is $\approx 2^{-55}$.
- \bullet For 2^{55} IVs we get one hit on average !!!!

Plantlet: Attack





- When you get a hit: first recover L_{t1} (e_{43} and T = t2 t1 known).
- From polynomial eqn of z_{t1+i} solve for NFSR+Secret key !!!!



Remaining paper is how to make it happen

- A: Generate 2^{30} keystream bits key and random IV.
- B: For all $t = 80 \cdot i$ where $i \in [1, N 1]$, store in a hash table t, Z_t as defined.
- C: Find, if it exists, t_1, t_2 so that $\mathcal{P} = Z_{t_1} \oplus Z_{t_2}$

D: If exists assume that the state differential is $0^{40} || e_{43}$.

E: Try to solve for the remaining system of equations to find the key.

F: If a contradiction is reached, try other values of t_1, t_2 or another IV.

Part A: Precomputation



Pre solve linear system

- A: All linear systems of form $e_{43} = (I + M^T) \cdot L_t$
- **B**: *T* is less than $[2^{30}/80] \approx 2^{24}$.

C: Use Gaussian elimination to solve all such systems

D: Solutions can be stored as T, L_T in the memory

E: Less than 2^{42} steps and less than 2^{30} bits of memory



Look for pattern ${\cal P}$

- A: For each IV collect keystream bits
- **B**: The idea is to find t1 and t2 so that $Z_{t1} + Z_{t2} = \mathcal{P}$.
- C: Use a good data structure to store keystream
- D: If $Z_{t1} + Z_{t2} = \mathcal{P} \Rightarrow L_{t1} + L_{t2} = e_{43}$ (Not always true)

E: Pick up L_{t1} from precomputed table.

Part C: Filter further







Look for further filtering

A: For 7 values of i, $z_{t1+i} + z_{t2+i} =$ simple function of L_{t1}

B: If the above does not hold for L_{t1} from offline table \Rightarrow Reject

C: If not use SAT solver for next stage





Solver stats

A: Form polynomial equations for all z_{t1+i} in NFSR, Key variables

B: Ask a solver to solve them

C: If assumption was incorrect solver returns UNSAT





Solver stats

A: Form polynomial equations for all z_{t1+i} in NFSR, Key variables

B: Ask a solver to solve them

C: If assumption was correct solver returns key/NFSR state





Solver stats

A: We can only estimate this complexity in terms of Plantlet encryption.

B: Compute average time on seconds to compute Plantlet enc.

C: Take the ratio between the two as an estimate.

Conclusion



Conclusion

A: We have one more optimization stage.

B: We find key in around 2^{70} Plantlet encryptions

C: Please read the paper for analysis of complexity.



What now ?

A: Small state stream ciphers.

B: Sprout, Plantlet, Fruit cryptanalyzed.

C: Lizard has a distinguisher and some other undesirable results.

D: Maybe a research direction is to put together another design.



THANK YOU