Coefficient Grouping: A New Algebraic Degree Evaluation Technique and Its Applications

Fukang Liu

Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan

ASK 2023

Outline

1 Introduction

- 2 Degree Evaluation for Chaghri
- 3 Coefficient Grouping Technique
- 4 Application to Chaghri
- 5 Coefficient Grouping for Complex Affine Layers

6 Conclusion

Background

The talks are based on three papers:

- Coefficient Grouping: Breaking Chaghri and More
- Coefficient Grouping for Complex Affine layers
- An $\mathcal{O}(n)$ Algorithm for Coefficient Grouping

Special thanks to my collaborators:

- Ravi Anand (University of Hyogo, Japan)
- Libo Wang (University of Hyogo, Japan)
- Willi Meier (FHNW, Switzerland)
- Lorenzo Grassi (Ruhr University Bochum, Germany)
- Clémence Bouvier (Sorbonne University & Inria, France)
- Takanori Isobe (University of Hyogo & NICT, Japan)

The Chaghri Primitive

- Proposed at ACM CCS 2022
- FHE-friendly block cipher
- Outperforms AES (in FHE setting) by 65%
- Over a large finite field $\mathbb{F}^3_{2^{63}}$

Description of Chaghri

The round function:

$$S(x) = x^{2^{3^2}+1}, \quad B(x) = c_0 x^{2^3} + c_1.$$

State transitions:

$$(z_{0,1}, z_{0,2}, z_{0,3}) \rightarrow (z_{1,1}, z_{1,2}, z_{1,3}) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow (z_{r,1}, z_{r,2}, z_{r,3})$$



Higher-order Differential Attack over \mathbb{F}_{2^n}

Algebraic degree of a univariate polynomial $\mathcal{F}(X)$ in $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}[X]$

Let

$$\mathcal{F}(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} u_i X^i.$$

Then, its algebraic degree $D_{\mathcal{F}}$ is defined as:

$$D_{\mathcal{F}} = \max\{H(i): i \in [0, 2^n - 1], u_i \neq 0\},\$$

where H(i) denotes the hamming weight of the integer *i*, i.e., the number of "1" in its binary representation.

Example

For
$$\mathcal{F} = X^{2^{30}+2^{31}} + X^{2^1+2^3+2^4}$$
, we have $D_{\mathcal{F}} = 3$.

Our very naive idea:

Step 1: set the input as a univariate polynomial in X:

$$\begin{aligned} z_{0,1} &= A_{0,1}X + B_{0,1}, \\ z_{0,2} &= A_{0,2}X + B_{0,2}, \\ z_{0,3} &= A_{0,3}X + B_{0,3}. \end{aligned}$$

- $z_{r,i}$ is always a univariate polynomial $P_{r,i}(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}[X]$.
- Step 2: trace the evolution of $P_{r,i}$.
- Step 3: compute all possible exponents in $P_{r,i}$. (practical???)
- Step 4: find the exponent with the maximal hamming weight

Step 2: trace the evolution of polynomials

• New representation for $(z_{r,1}, z_{r,2}, z_{r,3})$

$$z_{r,1} = \sum_{i=1}^{|w_r|} A_{r,i} X^{w_{r,i}}, \ z_{r,2} = \sum_{i=1}^{|w_r|} B_{r,i} X^{w_{r,i}}, z_{r,3} = \sum_{i=1}^{|w_r|} C_{r,i} X^{w_{r,i}}$$

The set of all possible exponents after r rounds:

$$w_r = \{w_{r,1}, w_{r,2}, \ldots, w_{r,|w_r|}\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}, \quad w_0 = \{0,1\}.$$

■ Goal: find a relation between *w_r* and *w_{r+1}* to compute *w_r* iteratively.

Step 2: trace the evolution of polynomials

• Through
$$S(x) = x^{2^{32}+1}$$
:

$$\begin{split} F(z_{r,1}) &= (\sum_{i=1}^{|w_r|} A_{r,i} X^{w_{r,i}})^{2^{32}+2^0} \\ &= (\sum_{i=1}^{|w_r|} A_{r,i} X^{w_{r,i}})^{2^{32}} \times (\sum_{i=1}^{|w_r|} A_{r,i} X^{w_{r,i}})^{2^0} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{|w_r|} \sum_{i=1}^{|w_r|} A_{r,i,j} X^{2^{32}w_{r,i}+2^0w_{r,j}}. \end{split}$$

where $A_{r,i,j} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ are key-dependent coefficients.

Step 2: trace the evolution of polynomials

• Through
$$B(x) = x^{2^3}$$
:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} B \circ S(z_{r,1}) & = & c_0 \bigg(\sum_{i=1}^{|w_r|} \sum_{j=1}^{|w_r|} A_{r,i,j} X^{(2^{32}w_{r,i}+2^0w_{r,j})} \bigg)^{2^3} + c_1 \\ & = & \sum_{i=1}^{|w_r|} \sum_{j=1}^{|w_r|} A_{r,i,j}' X^{2^{35}w_{r,i}+2^3w_{r,j}}. \end{array}$$

• The matrix *M* does not affect this representation:

$$z_{r+1,1} = \sum_{i=1}^{|w_r|} \sum_{j=1}^{|w_r|} A_{r+1,i,j} X^{2^{35} w_{r,i} + 2^3 w_{r,j}}$$

Step 2: trace the evolution of polynomials

• The relation between w_r and w_{r+1} is obtained as

$$w_{r+1} = \{\mathcal{M}_{63}(e) | e = 2^{35} w_{r,i} + 2^3 w_{r,j}, 1 \le i, j \le |w_r|\},\$$

where we define

$$\mathcal{M}_n(x) = egin{cases} 2^n - 1 ext{ if } 2^n - 1 | x, x \geq 2^n - 1, \ x \% (2^n - 1) ext{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

due to

$$\begin{cases} x^{2^n} = x \ \forall x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}, \\ x^{2^n - 1} = 1 \ \forall x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \text{ and } x \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Why previous methods failed: they can not handle the modular addition!!!

Step 2: trace the evolution of polynomials

• The relation between w_r and w_{r+2} is obtained as

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{w}_{r+1} &= \{\mathcal{M}_{63}(e) \mid e = 2^{35} \mathsf{w}_{r,i} + 2^3 \mathsf{w}_{r,j}, 1 \leq i, j \leq |\mathsf{w}_r|\}, \\ & \mathsf{w}_{r+2} &= \{\mathcal{M}_{63}(e) \mid e = 2^{35} (2^{35} \mathsf{w}_{r,i} + 2^3 \mathsf{w}_{r,j}) + 2^3 (2^{35} \mathsf{w}_{r,s} + 2^3 \mathsf{w}_{r,t}), 1 \leq i, j, s, t \leq |\mathsf{w}_r|\}, \\ &= \{\mathcal{M}_{63}(e) \mid e = 2^{38} (\mathsf{w}_{r,i} + \mathsf{w}_{r,s}) + 2^7 \mathsf{w}_{r,i} + 2^6 \mathsf{w}_{r,t}, 1 \leq i, j, s, t \leq |\mathsf{w}_r|\}, \end{split}$$

■ Why we consider *w*_{r+2}: 2 rounds are treated as 1 round in Chaghri.

Throughout this slide, we have

$$w_r = \{w_{r,1}, w_{r,2}, \ldots, w_{r,|w_r|}\}.$$

Step 3: Compute w_r

Initial set:

$$w_0 = \{0, 1\}.$$

• Compute w_{r+2} with

$$\begin{split} w_{r+2} &= \{\mathcal{M}_{63}(e) \mid e = 2^{38}(w_{r,i} + w_{r,s}) + 2^7 w_{r,i} + 2^6 w_{r,t}, \\ &1 \leq i, j, s, t \leq |w_r| \}. \end{split}$$

 Naive enumeration quickly becomes impractical as |w_r| is too large even for small r.

Motivation

- Do we really need to compute w_r round by round?
- Can we have a more elegant and general method that can work for any

$$S(x) = x^{2^{k_0} + 2^{k_1}}, B(x) = c_1 x^{2^{k_2}} + c_2$$

and a general finite field \mathbb{F}_{2^n} ?

Using
$$S(x) = x^{2^{k_0}+2^{k_1}} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}[x], \quad B(x) = c_1 x^{2^{k_2}} + c_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}[x]$$

Relation between w_r and w_{r+1} :

$$w_{r+1} = \{\mathcal{M}_n(e) \mid e = 2^{k_0 + k_2} w_{r,j} + 2^{k_1 + k_2} w_{r,j}, 1 \le i, j \le |w_r|\}$$

Relation between w_r and w_{r+2} :

Using $S(x) = x^{2^{k_0}+2^{k_1}} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}[x], \quad B(x) = c_1 x^{2^{k_2}} + c_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}[x]$

• Three important properties for $\mathcal{M}_n(x)$, i.e. mod $2^n - 1$:

$$\mathcal{M}_n(2^i) = 2^{i \mod n},$$

$$\mathcal{M}_n(x+y) = \mathcal{M}_n(x) + \mathcal{M}_n(y),$$

$$\mathcal{M}_n(x \cdot y) = \mathcal{M}_n\left(\mathcal{M}_n(x) \cdot \mathcal{M}_n(y)\right)$$

Using
$$S(x) = x^{2^{k_0}+2^{k_1}} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}[x], \quad B(x) = c_1 x^{2^{k_2}} + c_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}[x]$$

Relation between w_r and $w_{r+\ell}$:

• Group all possible N_j coefficients sharing the same factor 2^j :

$$w_{r,d_{1,j}}, w_{r,d_{2,j}}, \ldots, w_{r,d_{N_j,j}} \in w_r \ (r = 0, \ w_0 = \{0,1\}),$$

i.e., in the formula of $e_i 2^j w_{r,d_{i,i}}$ is possible to appear

• $w_{r+\ell}$ is fully described by a vector (N_{n-1}, \ldots, N_0) and w_r .

New representation of w_r

■ *r* = 0:

$$w_0 = \{0,1\} = \{\mathcal{M}_n(e) \mid e = 2^0 w_{0,i}, 1 \le i \le 2 = |w_0|\}, \\ \rightarrow (N_{n-1}^0, \dots, N_1^0) = (0, \dots, 0), \quad N_0^0 = 1.$$

Relation between w_r and w_{r+1} :

 $w_{r+1} = \{\mathcal{M}_n(e) \mid e = 2^{k_0 + k_2} w_{r,i} + 2^{k_1 + k_2} w_{r,j}, 1 \le i, j \le |w_r|\}$

• Find $(N_{n-1}^r, \ldots, N_0^r)$ to represent w_r :

$$N_i^{r+1} = N_{(i-(k_1+k_2))\% n}^r + N_{(i-(k_0+k_2))\% n}^r \text{ for } 0 \le i \le n-1.$$

• $(N_{n-1}^r, \ldots, N_0^r)$ can be computed in time O(n).

Finding two representations of w_r

Representation 1 of w_r:

$$\begin{split} w_r &= \left\{ \mathcal{M}_n(e) \mid e = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{n-1}^r} 2^{n-1} w_{0,d_i,n-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{N_{n-2}^r} 2^{n-2} w_{0,d_i,n-2} + \ldots + \sum_{i=1}^{N_0^r} 2^0 w_{0,d_i,0}, \right. \\ &\text{where } 1 \le d_{i,j} \le |w_0| \text{ for } 0 \le j \le n-1 \text{ and } w_0 = \{0,1\} \right\}. \end{split}$$

• For each term 2^j , there are N_i^r possible coefficients

$$w_{0,d_{1,j}}, w_{0,d_{2,j}}, \ldots, w_{0,d_{N_j,j}} \in w_0 = \{0,1\},\$$

which implies $\sum_{i=1}^{N_j^r} 2^j w_{0,d_{i,j}} \in \{2^j \gamma_j \mid 0 \le \gamma_j \le N_j^r\}.$

Finding $e \in w_r$ with H(e) maximal

Representation 2 of w_r:

$$w_r = \{\mathcal{M}_n(e) \mid e = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 2^i \gamma_i, \ 0 \leq \gamma_i \leq N_i^r\}.$$

Problem reduction (optimization problem):

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{maximize} & H\left(\mathcal{M}_n(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 2^i \gamma_i)\right), \\ \text{subject to} & 0 \leq \gamma_i \leq N_i^r \text{ for } i \in [0, n-1] \end{array}$$

- Solved in time *O*(*n*)!!! or by blackbox solvers.
 - finding and proving the O(n) algorithm require significant additional work

The $\mathcal{O}(n)$ Algorithm

Goal: Reduce
$$(N_{n-1}^{i}, \dots, N_{0}^{i})$$
 to an equivalent $(N_{n-1}^{\prime i}, \dots, N_{0}^{\prime i})$.
Idea: 1. Find nonzero $N_{j}^{i} = 2a + b$ where $b \in \{1, 2\}$.
2. Let $N_{j+1}^{\prime i} = N_{j+1}^{i} + a$ and $N_{j}^{\prime i} = b$.
 $(N_{4}^{i}, N_{3}^{i}, N_{2}^{i}, N_{1}^{i}, N_{0}^{i})$
 $= (0, 6, 7, 0, 0)$
 $\rightarrow (0, 6, 7, 0, 0)$ [as $7 = 2 \times 3 + 1$]
 $\rightarrow (0, 6 + 3, 1, 0, 0) = (0, 9, 1, 1, 0)$ [as $9 = 2 \times 4 + 1$]
 $\rightarrow (0 + 4, 1, 1, 0, 0) = (4, 1, 1, 0, 0)$ [as $2 = 2 \times 1 + 2$]
 $\rightarrow (2, 1, 1, 0, 0 + 1) = (2, 1, 1, 0, 1)$ [as $1 = 2 \times 0 + 1$]
 $= (N_{4}^{\prime i}, N_{3}^{\prime i}, N_{2}^{\prime i}, N_{1}^{\prime i}, N_{0}^{\prime i})$

The solution to the optimization problem is 4 (4 nonzero elements in the reduced vector.).

Breaking Chaghri and even More rounds

Table: The upper bounds of the algebraic degree for Chaghri

r	0 2	4	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	20	22	24	25	26
deg	1 3	7	12	17	22	27	32	37	42	47	52	58	60	63



Rescuing Chaghri

Achieving an (almost) exponential degree growth

- The slow growth is mainly caused by a sparse polynomial of B(x), i.e. B(x) = c₀x^{2³} + c₁
- Reason: the growth of the number of possible monomials is highly related to the density of B(x)
 - requires significant additional work
- Intuition: more possible monomials, higher probability that a monomial with deg = 2^r appears
- Use $B(x) = c_0 x^{2^8} + c_1 x^{2^2} + c_2 x + c_3$ instead

Further Evolution

Let us consider
$$S(x) = x^{2^d+1}$$
 and $B(x) = c_0 + \sum_{i=1}^w c_i x^{2^h}$

Motivation

- **1** What is the generic upper bound if w = 1?
- 2 How to establish theoretic relations between *w* and the growth of the algebraic degree?
- 3 How to efficiently find (h_1, \ldots, h_w) to achieve the exponential growth where w is as small as possible?
- 4 How to upper bound the algebraic degree for arbitrary B(x)?

• If w = 1, there is an absolute upper bound:

$$r^2-2r+3,$$

- i.e. at most quadratic increase!!!
- General influence of w: for w = 2/3/4, the exponential growth can never be achieved at the 4th/7th/10th rounds, i.e. the algebraic degree can never be 2⁴/2⁷/2¹⁰ at these rounds. For other w, we can deduce similar conclusions.

- Finding (h₁,..., h_w) to achieve the exponential growth: reduced to the feasibility to select 2^r different elements from r + 1 sets of integers under some constraints.
- Efficiently find upper bounds for arbitrary B(x), though they may be loose sometimes.

Degree evaluation for arbitrary B(x) at round r

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{maximize} & H\left(\mathcal{M}_n\left(\sum_{i=1}^{|Z|} 2^{z_i} \gamma_{z_i}\right)\right)\\ \text{subject to} & \gamma_{z_i} \geq 0;\\ & \sum_{i=1}^{|Z|} \gamma_{z_i} \leq 2^r;\\ & |\{z_i \mid \gamma_{z_i} \neq 0\}| \leq t. \end{array}$$

where the set $Z = \{z_1, \ldots, z_{|Z|}\} \subseteq \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$ and the integer $t \in [0, n-1]$ can be efficiently computed in advance.

Efficient ad-hoc algorithms?

Example:

$$n = 20, \quad Z = \{1, 3, 5, 8, 10, 14\}, \quad t = 5, \quad r = 15$$

Optimization problem:

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{maximize } & H\left(\mathcal{M}_{20}\left(2\gamma_{1}+2^{3}\gamma_{3}+2^{5}\gamma_{5}+2^{8}\gamma_{8}+2^{10}\gamma_{10}+2^{14}\gamma_{14}\right)\right),\\ \text{subject to } & \gamma_{1},\gamma_{3},\gamma_{5},\gamma_{8},\gamma_{10},\gamma_{14}\geq0;\\ & \gamma_{2}+\gamma_{3}+\gamma_{5}+\gamma_{8}+\gamma_{10}+\gamma_{14}\leq2^{15};\\ & |\{i\mid\gamma_{i}\neq0\}|\leq5, \ \forall i\in\{1,3,5,8,10,14\} \end{array}$$

Conclusion

- An efficient degree evaluation technique in time O(n) for a special cipher over 𝔽_{2ⁿ}
- Be careful of the symmetric-key primitive design over a large finite field! (less understood)
- Open problems:
 - Further improve our method for arbitrary B(x).
 - Study the influence of the matrix *M*.
 - Develop other novel cryptanalytic techniques for ciphers over a large finite field